



Research Article

Conharmonic curvature tensor on nearly cosymplectic manifolds with generalized tanaka-webster connection

Gulhan AYAR^{1,*}, Hatice Rabiya ÇAVUŞOĞLU¹

¹Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University, Kamil Ozdag Faculty of Science, Departments of Mathematics, Karaman, Turkey

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received: 11 July 2021

Accepted: 16 September 2021

Key words:

Conharmonic curvature tensor;

Nearly cosymplectic manifold;

Tanaka-Webster connection

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study conharmonic curvature tensor of nearly cosymplectic manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection and we give a conharmonically flat nearly cosymplectic manifold with respect to the connection.

Cite this article as: Ayar G, Çavuşoğlu HR. Conharmonic curvature tensor on nearly cosymplectic manifolds with generalized tanaka-webster connection. Sigma J Eng Nat Sci 2022;39(Supp 22):9–13.

INTRODUCTION

Recently, many studies have been conducted on nearly structures by different mathematicians in different manifolds. If we talk about some of them, the notion of a nearly Sasakian manifold was introduced by Blair and his collaborators in [5], while nearly cosymplectic manifolds were studied by Blair and Showers in [2]–[4]. In the subsequent literature on the topic, the papers on Olszak were quite important [14],[15] for nearly Sasakian manifolds and those of Endo [8],[9] on nearly cosymplectic manifolds.

Later on, these two classes have played a role in the China-Gonzalez's classification of almost contact metric manifolds [7]. They have also appeared in the study of harmonic almost contact structures (cf. [10],[16]). An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) satisfying $(\nabla_X \phi)X = 0$ is

called a nearly cosymplectic structure. If we consider S^5 as a totally geodesic hypersurface of S^6 ; then it is known that S^5 has a non cosymplectic nearly cosymplectic structure. It was shown that the normal nearly cosymplectic manifolds are cosymplectic (see [5]). In [12], Loubeau and Vergara-Diaz proved that a nearly cosymplectic structure, once identified with a section of a twistor bundle, always defines a harmonic map. On the other hand, almost contact manifolds with Killing structures tensors were defined in [6] as nearly cosymplectic manifolds. Later on, Blair and Showers [4] studied nearly cosymplectic structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) on a Riemannian manifold M with η closed from the topological viewpoint.

In addition, Tanaka-Webster connection is canonical affine connection defined on a non-degenerate pseudo-Hermitian CR-manifolds. A generalized Tanaka-Webster

*Corresponding author.

*E-mail address: gulhanayar@kmu.edu.tr

This paper was recommended for publication in revised form by Regional Editor Yusuf Zeren



connection has been introduced by Tanno [13] as a generalization of Tabaka-Webster connection [11],[12]. Contact manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection were studied by many researchers [17]-[21].

The paper is organized as: after introduction section, in Section 2, we give some information about almost contact Riemannian manifolds and accordingly, nearly cosymplectic manifolds and the fundamental curvature properties they provide. In Section 3, we discussed some equations provided by the Riemannian curvature tensor. Later, we have obtained the form of Ricci curvature tensor, scalar curvature tensor and canhormanic curvature tensor on nearly cosymplectic manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Finally, in the last section, we study conharmonically flat nearly cosymplectic manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection.

PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, ϕ, η, ξ, g) be an $(n = 2m + 1)$ -dimensional differentiable manifold M is called an almost contact Riemannian manifold, where ϕ is a $(1; 1)$ -tensor field, ξ is the structure vector field, η is a 1-form and g is the Riemannian metric. This structure satisfies the following conditions,

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi \tag{2.1}$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \phi\xi = 0, \eta \cdot \phi = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \tag{2.3}$$

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y) \text{ and } g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \tag{2.4}$$

for any vector fields X and Y on M [1].

With condition (2.5) an almost contact Riemannian manifold M is said to be a nearly cosymplectic manifold

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y + (\nabla_Y \phi)X = 0 \text{ and } (\nabla_X \phi)X = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

It is said to be nearly cosymplectic manifold if the following conditions are satisfying,

$$\nabla_X \xi = HX, g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) + g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) = 0 \text{ and } (\nabla_X \phi)\xi = -\phi HX \tag{2.6}$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection.

In addition on a nearly cosymplectic manifold M , the following relations are hold [1].

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(\nabla_X \xi, Y) = g(HX, Y) \tag{2.7}$$

$$R(\xi, X, Y, Z) = -g((\nabla_X H)Y, Z) = \eta(Y)g(H^2 X, Z) - \eta(Z)g(H^2 X, Y) \tag{2.8}$$

$$\eta(R(Y, Z)X) = g((\nabla_X H)Y, Z) \tag{2.8}$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -\eta(X)tr(H^2) \tag{2.9}$$

where R is stated the Riemannian curvature tensor, S is shown the Ricci tensor and H is a skew-symmetric tensor field.

GENERALIZED TANAKA-WEBSTER CONNECTION ON NEARLY COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

The generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on a almost contact metric manifold M is defined by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi + (\nabla_X \eta)(Y)\xi - \eta(X)\phi Y \tag{3.1}$$

for all vector fields X and Y , where ∇ is Levi-Civita connection on M .

If we use (2.6) and (2.7) in (3.1) we get,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \eta(Y)HX + g(HX, Y)\xi - \eta(X)\phi Y \tag{3.2}$$

for all vector fields X and Y .

By taking $Y = \xi$ in (3.2) and using (2.6) we obtain,

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

Thus we can state that, the characteristic vector field of a nearly cosymplectic manifold is parallel via generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Let M be an n -dimensional nearly cosymplectic manifold. The curvature tensor \tilde{R} of M with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by,

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X \tilde{\nabla}_Y Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{\nabla}_X Z - \tilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z \tag{3.4}$$

Then, after a long computation in a nearly cosymplectic manifold, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + \eta(X)(\nabla_Y \phi)Z - \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \phi)Z \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\eta(Z)H^2 Y + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)H^2 X \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi H Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi H X \\ &\quad + g(Z, H Y)H X - g(Z, H X)H Y \\ &\quad - 2g(Y, H X)\phi Z + \eta(X)g(H^2 Y, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)g(H^2 X, Z)\xi + \eta(X)g(H Y, \phi Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)g(H X, \phi Z)\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By taking $Z = \xi$ in (3.5) we get,

$$\tilde{R}(X, Y)\xi = R(X, Y)\xi - \eta(X)H^2 Y + \eta(Y)H^2 X \tag{3.6}$$

The Ricci tensor \tilde{S} and the scalar curvature \tilde{r} of a nearly cosymplectic manifold M with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(Y, Z) &= S(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)div(\phi)Z + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)tr(H^2) \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)tr(\phi H) + g(Z, H Y)tr(H) \\ &\quad + 2g(H Y, \phi Z), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r} &= r + (2m + 1)tr(H^2), \\ \tilde{r} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By taking $Z = \xi$ in (3.7) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(Y, \xi) &= S(Y, \xi) + \eta(Y)tr(H^2), \\ \tilde{S}(Y, \xi) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}Y &= QY + \text{tr}(H^2)Y, \\ \tilde{Q}Y &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Theorem 1. For a nearly cosymplectic manifold M with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\tilde{\nabla}$,

- $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = -\tilde{R}(Y, X)Z$,
- $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = -\tilde{R}(X, Y, W, Z)$,
- $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) - \tilde{R}(Z, W, X, Y) = \eta(X)g((\nabla_Y\phi)Z, W) - \eta(Y)g((\nabla_X\phi)Z, W) - \eta(Z)g((\nabla_W\phi)X, Y) + \eta(W)g((\nabla_Z\phi)X, Y) + 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(\phi HY, W) - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(\phi HX, W) - 2\eta(Y)\eta(W)g(\phi HX, Z) - 2\eta(X)\eta(W)g(\phi HY, Z) + 2g(W, HZ)g(\phi X, Y) - 2g(Y, HX)g(\phi Z, W)$,
- $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z + \tilde{R}(Y, Z)X + \tilde{R}(X, Z)Y = 2\eta(Y)(\nabla_Z\phi)X + 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)H^2X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)H^2Z - 2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi HX + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\phi HZ - 2g(Z, HX)HY + 2g(Y, HZ)\phi X + 2g(X, HZ)\phi Y + 2g(X, HY)\phi Z + 2\eta(X)g(H^2Z, Y)\xi - 2\eta(Z)g(H^2X, Y)\xi + 2\eta(Y)g(HZ, \phi X)\xi$,
- The Ricci tensor \tilde{S} is not symmetric.

In a nearly cosymplectic manifold M of dimension $n > 2$, the conharmonic curvature tensor \tilde{K} with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{(n-2)} \left[\tilde{S}(Y, Z)X - \tilde{S}(X, Z)Y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g(Y, Z)\tilde{Q}X - g(X, Z)\tilde{Q}Y \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all vector fields X, Y and Z on M , where \tilde{R}, \tilde{S} and \tilde{Q} are the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and Ricci operator, respectively with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$.

Using (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9) in (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + \eta(X)(\nabla_Y\phi)Z - \eta(Y)(\nabla_X\phi)Z \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\eta(Z)H^2Y + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)H^2X \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi HY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi HX \\ &\quad + g(Z, HY)HX - g(Z, HX)HY \\ &\quad - 2g(Y, HX)\phi Z + \eta(X)g(H^2Y, Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)g(H^2X, Z)\xi + \eta(X)g(HY, \phi Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)g(HX, \phi Z)\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + \eta(X)\text{div}(\phi)ZY \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\text{div}(\phi)ZX - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(H^2)Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(H^2)X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)X + g(Z, HY)\text{tr}(H)X \\ &\quad - g(Z, HX)\text{tr}(H)Y - g(X, Z)\text{tr}(H^2)Y \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)\text{tr}(H^2)X + 2g(HY, \phi Z)X \\ &\quad - 2g(HX, \phi Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX \\ &\quad - g(X, Z)QY], \end{aligned}$$

And thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{K}(X, Y)Z &= K(X, Y)Z + \eta(X)(\nabla_Y\phi)Z \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)(\nabla_X\phi)Z - \eta(X)\eta(Z)H^2Y \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)H^2X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi HY \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi HX + g(Z, HY)HX \\ &\quad - g(Z, HX)HY - 2g(Y, HX)\phi Z \\ &\quad + \eta(X)g(H^2Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)g(H^2X, Z)\xi \\ &\quad + \eta(X)g(HY, \phi Z)\xi - \eta(Y)g(HX, \phi Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-2)} [\eta(X)\text{div}(\phi)ZY - \eta(Y)\text{div}(\phi)ZX \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(H^2)Y + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(H^2)X \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)X \\ &\quad + g(Z, HY)\text{tr}(H)X - g(Z, HX)\text{tr}(H)Y \\ &\quad - g(X, Z)\text{tr}(H^2)Y + g(Y, Z)\text{tr}(H^2)X \\ &\quad + 2g(HY, \phi Z)X - 2g(HX, \phi Z)Y], \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

In a nearly cosymplectic manifold, using (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9) in (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(\xi, Y)Z &= (\nabla_Y\phi)Z - \eta(Y)(\nabla_\xi\phi)Z + \eta(Z)\phi HY + g(HY, \phi Z)\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)\xi + \text{div}(\phi)ZY - \eta(Y)\text{div}(\phi)Z\xi \\ &\quad + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(H^2)\xi + \eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)Y \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)\text{tr}(\phi H)\xi + g(Z, HY)\text{tr}(H)\xi \\ &\quad + 2g(HY, \phi Z)\xi], \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(K(X, Y)Z) &= -\frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)\eta(X) - S(X, Z)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + \eta(X)\text{div}(\phi)\eta(ZY) - \eta(Y)\text{div}(\phi)\eta(ZX) \\ &\quad + g(Z, HY)\text{tr}(H)\eta(X) - g(Z, HX)\text{tr}(H)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + 2g(HY, \phi Z)\eta(X) - 2g(HX, \phi Z)\eta(Y)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

CONHARMONICALLY FLAT NEARLY COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS WITH RESPECT TO THE CONNECTION $\tilde{\nabla}$

Assume that, M is conharmonically flat nearly cosymplectic manifold with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. That is, $\tilde{K} = 0$. Then from (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z &= \frac{1}{(n-2)} [\tilde{S}(Y, Z)X - \tilde{S}(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad + g(Y, Z)\tilde{Q}X - g(X, Z)\tilde{Q}Y]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Taking inner product with ξ in (4.1), then

$$g(\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z, \xi) = \frac{1}{(n-2)} [\tilde{S}(Y, Z)\eta(X) - \tilde{S}(X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(Y, Z)\tilde{S}(X, \xi) - g(X, Z)\tilde{S}(Y, \xi)] \quad (4.2)$$

This gives,

$$-\tilde{R}(X, Y, \xi, Z) = \frac{1}{(n-2)} [\tilde{S}(Y, Z)\eta(X) - \tilde{S}(X, Z)\eta(Y) + g(Y, Z)\tilde{S}(X, \xi) - g(X, Z)\tilde{S}(Y, \xi)] \quad (4.3)$$

Using (3.7) in (4.3), we get

$$-\tilde{R}(X, Y, \xi, Z) = \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(Y, Z)\eta(X) - S(X, Z)\eta(Y) + n(X)g(Z, HY)tr(H) - n(Y)g(Z, HX)tr(H) + 2\eta(X)g(HY, \phi Z) - 2\eta(Y)g(HX, \phi Z)]. \quad (4.4)$$

Using (2.8), (3.6) in (4.4) and taking $X = \xi$ we have

$$S(Y, Z) = -g(Z, HY)tr(H) - 2g(HY, \phi Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)tr(H^2), \quad (4.5)$$

And

$$r = -(2m + 1)tr(H^2) \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, we can state the following:

Theorem 2. For a conharmonically flat nearly cosymplectic manifold with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster connection, the scalar curvature is $(2m + 1)tr(H^2)$.

CONCLUSION

With this study, we have demonstrated the important curvature properties of nearly cosymplectic manifolds equipped with Tanaka-Webster connection. Also, based on these curvature properties, we have defined the conhormanic curvature tensor. Then, we have emphasized the properties that conhormanic curvature tensor provides in case of flatness. In addition to this work, conharmonically symmetric, ϕ -conharmonically flat, ξ -conharmonically flat nearly cosymplectic manifolds equipped with Tanaka-Webster connection can be examined.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

Authors equally contributed to this work.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The authors confirm that the data that supports the findings of this study are available within the article. Raw data that support the finding of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ETHICS

There are no ethical issues with the publication of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Endo H. On the curvature tensor of nearly cosymplectic manifolds of constant ϕ -sectional curvature. An. Stiit. Univ Al. I. Cuza Iasi Mat 2005;4:439–454.
- [2] Blair DE. Almost contact manifolds with Killing structure tensors. Pac J Math 1971;39:285–292. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [3] Blair DE. Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds. 2nd ed. Progress in Mathematics. Boston: Birkhäuser, 2010:203. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [4] Blair DE, Showers DK. Almost contact manifolds with Killing structure tensors. II. J Differ Geom 1974;9:577–582. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [5] Blair DE, Showers DK, Yano K. Nearly Sasakian structures. Kodai Math Semin Rep 1976;27:175–180. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [6] Bishop RL, O'Neill B. Manifolds of negative curvature. Trans Am Math Soc 1969;145:1–49. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [7] Chinea D, Gonzalez C. A classification of almost contact metric manifolds. Ann Mat Pura Appl 1990;156:15–36. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [8] Endo H. On the curvature tensor of nearly cosymplectic manifolds of constant ϕ -sectional curvature. An Stiit Univ Al. I. Cuza Iasi Mat 2005;51:439–454. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [9] Endo, H. On the first Betti number of certain compact nearly cosymplectic manifolds. J Geom 2012;103:231–236. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [10] González-Dávila JC, Martín Cabrera F. Harmonic almost contact structures via the intrinsic torsion. Israel J Math 2011;181:145–187. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [11] Tanaka, N. On non-degenerate real hypersurfaces, graded Lie algebras and Cartan connections. Japan J Math 1976;2:131–190. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

- [12] Webster SM. Pseudo-Hermitian structures on a real hypersurface. *J Differ Geom* 1978;13:25–41. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [13] Tanno S. Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds. *Trans Am Math Soc* 1989;314:349–379. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [14] Olszak, Z. Nearly Sasakian manifolds. *Tensor (N.S.)* 1979;33:277–286.
- [15] Olszak Z. Five-dimensional nearly Sasakian manifolds. *Tensor* 1980;34:273–276.
- [16] Vergara-Diaz, E, Wood CM. Harmonic almost contact structures. *Geom Dedic* 2006;123:131–151. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [17] Prakasha DG, Balachandra S. On the conharmonic curvature tensor of kenmotsu manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. *Miskolc Math Notes* 2018;19:491–503. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [18] Ghosh G, Chand DU. Kenmotsu manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. *Publ de l'Institut Mathematique* 2017;102:221–230. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [19] Montano BC. Some remarks on the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection of a contact metric manifold. *Rocky Mt J Math* 2010;40:1009–1037. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [20] Kazan A, Karadag HB. Trans-Sasakian manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. *Honam Mathematical J* 2018;40:487–508.
- [21] De, U. C., and Ghosh, G. (2016). On generalized Tanaka-Webster connection in Sasakian manifolds. *Bull Transilv Univ Bras III: Math Inform Phys* 2016;9:13.
- [22] Prakasha DG, Balachandra S. On the conharmonic curvature tensor of kenmotsu manifolds with generalized tanaka-webster connection. *Miskolc Maths Notes* 2018;19:491–503. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- [23] İnan Ü, Altin M. -contact metric manifolds with generalized tanaka-webster connection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.02536*, 2020.